| ,  | _   |  | PRG                                    |
|--|---|--|--|
| Notice of Abandonment  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|  | 09/856,779  | LIANG ET AL.   |  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
|  | Brian R. Peugh  | 2187   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication   | n appears on the cover sheet wi                                       | th the correspondence ad                                 | Idress                                 |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  | •   |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificat period for reply (including a total extension of time (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received as | e of Mailing or Transmission dated ne of month(s)) which expir        | d), which is after the ed on                             | •                                      |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it<br>(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rej  |   |  | •                                      |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timel Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with   | y filed Notice of Appeal (with appe                                   | al fee); or (3) a timely filed l                         | aces the<br>Request for                |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not confinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111.  | onstitute a proper reply, or a bona (See explanation in box 7 below). | fide attempt at a proper rep                             | ly, to the non-                        |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.  |   |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue for from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PT).  | ee and publication fee, if applicable                                 | e, within the statutory period                           | of three months                        |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable), which is after the expiration of the statut Allowance (PTOL-85).  | e, was received on (with a  | Certificate of Mailing or Trefee (and publication fee) s | ansmission dated<br>et in the Notice o |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ba  | alance of \$ is due.  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$  |   | d by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$                               |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, h  | nas not been received.  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as<br/>Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>  | s required by, and within the three-                                  | month period set in, the No                              | tice of                                |
| <ul> <li>(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   | (with a Certificate of Mailing  | or Transmission dated                                    | ), which is                            |
| (b) \( \sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.   |   |  |  |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.  | by the attorney or agent of record,                                   | the assignee of the entire in                            | nterest, or all of                     |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  | by an attorney or agent (acting in a                                  | a representative capacity ur                             | nder 37 CFR                            |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Int   |   | because the period for see                               | king court review                      |

## **BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

On April 15, 2004, the Examiner left a message with Cameron K. Weiffenbach, Reg. No. 44,488, that a response to the Office Action of August 27, 2003, had not been received.

DONALD SPARKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

7. The reason(s) below:





## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                              | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/856,779                                   | 10/03/2001      | Sheng Liang          | 50435-055               | 3704             |  |
| 7  | 7590 04/16/2004 |                      | EXAMI                   | EXAMINER         |  |
| McDermott Will & Emery                       |                 | PEUGH, BRIAN R       |                         |                  |  |
| 600 13th Street NW Washington, DC 20005-3096 |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |                 | 2187                 | 6                       |                  |  |
|  |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004 | , <i>p</i>       |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY